

M1 INTERMEDIATE ECONOMETRICS

Hypothesis testing

Koen Jochmans François Poinas

2024 - 2025

This deck of slides goes through hypothesis testing in the context of the linear regression model.

The corresponding chapter in Hansen is 9.

Framework (H9.2-H9.3)

Let

$$\theta = r(\beta)$$

for $r : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^q$.

Wish to test a null hypothesis

$$\mathbb{H}_0: \theta = \theta_0$$

against the alternative

$$\mathbb{H}_1: \{\theta: \theta \neq \theta_0\}.$$

Do this by looking at the sample, via a statistic T.

Use a decision rule:

Accept the null if $T \leq c$,

Reject the null if T > c,

for a chosen critical value c.

The simplest case has θ a single linear combination of the regression vector β :

$$\theta = r'\beta$$

for some vector r.

r could be an element of the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^k ; this picks out particular elements of $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k)'$.

In the classical linear regression model, for this case, ${\cal T}$ would be the t-statistic.

For testing multiple linear combinations, $\theta = \mathbf{R}'\beta$ in the classical linear regression model one usually uses the F-statistic.

These approaches do not extend beyond the classical linear regression setup.

The statistic T is random.

So the decision rule is subject to statistical error.

Type I error: Reject the null when it is true.

Type II error: Accept the null when it is false.

The size is the probability of a type I error.

If the distribution of T is known under the null the critical value can be chosen as to control size exactly.

The t-test and F-test in the classical linear regression model are again examples.

In general, the distribution of T (under the null) is unknown, so we rely on asymptotic approximations instead.

Wald test (H9.10-H9.11)

From before we know that

$$n\,(\hat{\theta}-\theta)'\hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1}(\hat{\theta}-\theta) \xrightarrow[d]{} \chi_q^2.$$

Here, θ is unknown.

Under the null $\theta = \theta_0$ is known, and so

$$W = n \left(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0\right)' \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1} \left(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0\right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2.$$

Let G_q be the CDF of the χ^2_q -distribution. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(W > c \mid \mathbb{H}_0 \text{ is true}) \to 1 - G_q(c)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

A test with (asymptotic) size $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is obtained on setting

$$c = G_q^{-1}(1 - \alpha),$$

the $1 - \alpha$ quantile of G_q .

Note that

$$c = G_q^{-1}(1 - \alpha)$$

is decreasing in α . So for a decision rule with a smaller size, the critical value threshold is higher.

The (asymptotic) **p-value** is

$$p = 1 - G_q(W).$$

This is the (asymptotic) probability of observing a test statistic at least as large as the one observed in the data.

Also gives the minimal α at which one would reject the null hypothesis.

t-statistic

When θ is a scalar

$$W = T^2$$

for

$$T = \sqrt{n} \, \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow[d]{} N(0, 1),$$

which is the t-statistic.

The decision rules to reject the null when

 $W > G_q^{-1}(1-\alpha)$

 or

$$|T| > \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)$$

are equivalent.

We call this a t-test by convention, but its distribution is not Student t!

F-statistic

Suppose that we have homoskedastic errors. Then we would use the non-robust variance estimator

$$\hat{V}_{eta}^{0} = s^{2} \, \hat{Q}_{XX}^{-1}$$

with $s^2 = (\hat{e}'\hat{e})/(n-k)$.

In the classical linear regression model, for $\theta = \mathbf{R}'\beta$, a null of the form $\theta = \theta_0$ is tested using the F-statistic

$$F = W^0/q$$

which has an exact $F_{q,n-k}$ -distribution there.

No longer true in our setting. The statistic never follows and Fdistribution.

Now,
$$q F \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2$$
.

Classical linear regression model

In the classical setting,

$$Y|X = x \sim N(x'\beta, \sigma^2).$$

In this case,

$$\left(egin{array}{c} \hat{eta} - eta \\ \hat{m{e}} \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} (X'X)^{-1}X'e \\ Me \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} (X'X)^{-1}X' \\ M \end{array}
ight) e$$

and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta} - \beta \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \boldsymbol{X} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} 0, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 (\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{M} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

because, for the off-diagonal block, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{e}'\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{e}) = \sigma^{2}\mathrm{trace}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}) = 0,$$

which, by normality, implies independence.

For any linear combination $\theta = \mathbf{R}'\beta$, estimated by $\hat{\theta} = \mathbf{R}'\hat{\beta}$, we then equally have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\theta} - \theta \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \boldsymbol{X} \sim N \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{R}' (\boldsymbol{X}' \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{R} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{M} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Furthermore, with $M = H\Lambda H'$ the eigendecomposition and u = H'e,

$$e'Me = e'H\Lambda H'e = u'\Lambda u.$$

Because M is a projection matrix of rank n - k, the diagonal of Λ contains k zeros and (n - k) ones. Let u_1 be the subset of u associated with the (n - k) non-zero eigenvalues. Then

$$\boldsymbol{u_1} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I_{n-k}}), \qquad \boldsymbol{e'Me} = \boldsymbol{u_1'} \boldsymbol{u_1} \sim \sigma^2 \chi_{n-k}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$(n-k)\frac{s^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{e'Me}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-k}.$$

Let θ be univariate. Then

$$\frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{\sigma \sqrt{r'(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}r}} \sim N(0, 1),$$

and so

$$\left(\frac{\hat{\theta}-\theta}{\sigma\sqrt{r'(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}r}}\right) / \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{\sigma^2}} = \frac{\hat{\theta}-\theta}{s\sqrt{r'(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}r}} \sim t_{n-k}.$$

Let θ be *q*-variate. Then

$$\frac{(\hat{\theta}-\theta)'(\boldsymbol{R}'(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{R})^{-1}(\hat{\theta}-\theta)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_q^2$$

and so

$$\left(\frac{(\hat{\theta}-\theta)'(\boldsymbol{R}'(\boldsymbol{X}'\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{R})^{-1}(\hat{\theta}-\theta)}{\sigma^2}\right) \middle/ q \frac{s^2}{\sigma^2} = W^0/q \sim F_{q,n-k}.$$

Let

$$\beta(\theta) = \mathbb{P}(\text{reject } \mathbb{H}_0|\theta)$$

be the power function.

For our Wald statistic,

$$\beta(\theta) = \mathbb{P}(W > c \,|\, \theta).$$

Note that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta(\theta_0) = \alpha$.

A test is consistent if

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta(\theta)=1$

for any fixed value $\theta \neq \theta_0$.

For a t-statistic

$$T = \sqrt{n} \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$$

= $\sqrt{n} \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) + \sqrt{n} \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2} (\theta - \theta_0)$
 $\rightarrow N(0, 1) + \sqrt{n} V_{\theta}^{-1/2} (\theta - \theta_0).$

Under the null, $\theta = \theta_0$ and so $T \xrightarrow[d]{} N(0,1)$ as $n \to \infty$.

For any $\theta \neq \theta_0$, the second term grows to $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ at the rate \sqrt{n} as $n \to \infty$.

Consequently, for $h_{\theta} = V_{\theta}^{-1/2}(\theta - \theta_0)$ and $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, the power function of the t-test with size α satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\theta) &\to \mathbb{P}(|Z + \sqrt{n}h_{\theta}| > z_{1-\alpha/2} \,|\, \theta) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(Z + \sqrt{n}h_{\theta} \,< -z_{1-\alpha/2} \,|\, \theta) + \mathbb{P}(Z + \sqrt{n}h_{\theta} \,>\, z_{1-\alpha/2} \,|\, \theta) \\ &= \Phi(-z_{1-\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n}h_{\theta}) + 1 - \Phi(z_{1-\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n}h_{\theta}) \to 1 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

For a Wald statistic the same reasoning goes through as

$$W \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2 + 2\sqrt{n} Z' h_\theta + n h_\theta' h_\theta,$$

where, now,

$$Z \sim N(0, I_q), \qquad h_{\theta} = V_{\theta}^{-1/2}(\theta - \theta_0).$$

The last term, $nh'_{\theta}h_{\theta}$, is non-negative and grows to $+\infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

Consistency does not tell us whether a test is powerful in practice.

Does not allow to compare different test statistics.

As $n \to \infty$, any fixed alternative lies increasingly far from the null.

We can approximate the power by keeping the alternative close to the null as n grows.

Do this by considering a sequence of alternatives:

$$\theta_n = \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}h$$

for some fixed h.

For the t-test we now get

$$T = \sqrt{n} \, \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) = \sqrt{n} \, \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_n) + \sqrt{n} \, \hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2} (\theta_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) + V_{\theta}^{-1/2} h$$

and so

$$T \xrightarrow{d} N(\delta, 1), \qquad \delta = V_{\theta}^{-1/2}h,$$

which is a normal distribution that is not centered at zero.

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta(\theta_n) = \Phi(-z_{1-\alpha/2} - \delta) + 1 - \Phi(z_{1-\alpha/2} - \delta) = \pi(\delta)$$

is the asymptotic local power function. (This implicitly depends on the chosen size α .)

As $h = \sqrt{n}(\theta_n - \theta_0)$ and $V_{\theta}^{1/2}$ is the asymptotic standard deviation of its estimator $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)$, δ is a relative measure that reflects how large the violation of the null is compared to the noise in the estimator. As $|\delta| \to \infty$ then $\pi(\delta) \to 1$.

To approximate actual power for a given n and alternative θ , we solve $\theta = \theta_0 + h/\sqrt{n}$ for h to find $h = \sqrt{n}(\theta - \theta_0)$. Our power approximation then is

$$\pi\left(\sqrt{n}\,V^{-1/2}(\theta-\theta_0)\right).$$

For the Wald test we similarly, get that

$$W \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2(h' V_{\theta}^{-1} h)$$

which is a non-central χ_q^2 -distribution, wit non-centrality parameter $h' V_{\theta}^{-1} h$.

Consider again the scalar case first.

Because

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right) \xrightarrow[d]{} N(0, V_{\theta})$$

as $n \to \infty$, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\hat{V}_{\theta}^{-1/2}(\hat{\theta}-\theta) \leq \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)\right) \to \alpha$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\theta} \leq \theta + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \, \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)\right) \to \alpha.$$

Take any $\alpha < 1/2$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\theta} \le \theta + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \, \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)\right) - \mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\theta} \le \theta + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \, \Phi^{-1}(\alpha/2)\right)$$

equals

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\theta + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \, \Phi^{-1}(\alpha/2) < \hat{\theta} \le \theta + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \, \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)\right) \to 1 - \alpha.$$

Re-arranging and exploiting that $\Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) = -\Phi^{-1}(\alpha/2)$ gives the equivalence

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\hat{\theta} + \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) > \theta \ge \hat{\theta} - \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)\right) \to 1 - \alpha.$$

The interval

$$C = \left[\hat{\theta} - \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2), \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}_{\theta}}{n}} \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)\right]$$

covers the parameter θ with probability $1 - \alpha$ (in large samples).

Letting

$$T(\theta) = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{\sqrt{\hat{V}_{\theta}/n}},$$

we equivalently have that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(-\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha/2) < T(\theta) \le \Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha/2)\right) \to 1-\alpha$$

That is, we can write

$$C = \{\theta^* : |T(\theta^*)| \le \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)\}.$$

This is known as 'inversion' of a test statistic to construct a confidence interval.

In the vector case, we can construct a confidence region based on the Wald statistic.

In this case, if we take

$$C = \{\theta^* : W(\theta^*) \le G_q^{-1}(1-\alpha)\},\$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta \in C) \to 1 - \alpha$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Remember that the probability works on the set C, not on θ (which is a fixed constant).